



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/722,405	11/28/2003	Takashi Suzuki	000409-073	7172
21839 7	590 10/20/2006		EXAM	INER
	I, INGERSOLL & ROO	SCHINDLER, DAVID M		
	POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2862	
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/722,405	SUZUKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Schindler	2862			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	· .				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 17-19,21 and 22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 17-19,21 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of the correction of the original of the correction of the original origina	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the communication filed 8/7/2006.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With regard to the last paragraph of page 1 of the Remarks, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Examiner notes that claim 17 recites, a first magnet disposed at one end of the main body portion (line 6), and a second magnet disposed at an opposite end of the main body portion (line 8). To this, the Examiner notes that the term "at" is being used to mean "near" (note definition 1 at the top of page 2). Note the first definition for the term "at" as defined in the provided printout from www.dictionary.com. Therefore, the Examiner disagrees with Applicant as the first and second magnets of Weh are "near" the first yoke. Furthermore, the Examiner is interpreting the main body top portion of the first yoke of Weh, which is the portion of the first yoke that is above the middle projecting portion of the first yoke but does not include the top projecting portion, to be a first end. Similarly, the Examiner is interpreting the bottom portion of the first yoke of Weh, which is the portion of the first voke that is below the middle projecting portion of the first yoke, to be a second end which is opposite to the first end of the first yoke. Given the above, the Examiner therefore notes that the first magnet of Weh does appear to be disposed at one end of the main body portion, and the second magnet of Weh does appear to be disposed at an opposite end of the main body portion. With regard to the phrase "Thus, Weh does

not disclose a yoke having a projecting portion and the first and second magnets all on the same yoke" as argued by Applicant on lines 6-7 of page 1 of the Remarks, the Examiner notes that the claims do not appear to recite the feature "the first and second magnets all on the same yoke."

With regard to the first full paragraph of page 2 of the Remarks, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Note the first full paragraph of page 6 of the provided English translation of the Weh reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Birnbaum (4,236,093).

As to Claim 17,

Birnbaum discloses a first yoke (113) including a main body portion and a projected portion extending from the main body portion in a direction at right angles to the main body portion, the projecting portion possessing a tip end (Figure 2), a first magnet (112) disposed at one end of the main body portion, the first magnet possessing a north end and a south end (Figure 2), a second magnet (111) disposed at an opposite end of the main body portion, the second magnet possessing a north end and a south end (Figure 2), a second yoke (114) positioned so that a space exists between the second yoke and the tip end of the projecting portion (Figure 2), a magnetic detecting element (128) disposed in the space between the second yoke and the tip end of the

projecting portion (Figure 2), first magnetic flux from the first magnet travels from the north end of the first magnet towards the second yoke, then flows back to the south end of the first magnet via the magnetic detecting element and the projecting portion (Figure 2), second magnetic flux from the second magnet travels from the north end of the second magnet towards the second yoke via the projecting portion and the magnetic detecting element, then flows back to the south end of the second magnet, lines of magnetic flux from the first magnet flow in an opposite direction to the lines of magnetic flux from the second magnet at the magnetic detecting element (Figure 2), the magnetic fluxes from the first and the second magnets passing through the magnetic detecting element are cancelled with each other when the magnetic body is positioned at a place near or adjacent to the position detecting sensor at which the first magnetic flux passes through the magnetic body (Figure 2), and the magnetic flux passing through the magnetic detecting element becomes substantially greater than a predetermined threshold value when the magnetic body is positioned away from the position detecting sensor (Figure 2), wherein the first and the second magnets at both ends of the main body portion differ from each other in at least one dimension (Figure 2) ((Figure 2) and (Column 4, Lines 17-68) and (Column 5, Lines 1-11)).

(Note that the as the magnetic field generated by one magnet cancels at least in part the magnetic field of the other magnet. Furthermore, the Examiner is interpreting the predetermined threshold value to be the point at which the magnetic field direction flips from right to left when the wheel is nearby, to left to right when the wheel moves away).

Application/Control Number: 10/722,405 Page 5

Art Unit: 2862

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claim 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Varterasian (3,060,370) in view of Birnbaum (4,236,093).

Varterasian discloses a first yoke including a main body portion (12) and a projecting portion (13) extending from the main body in a direction at right angles to the main body portion, the projecting portion possessing a tip end (Figure 1), a first magnet (10) disposed at one end of the main body portion (Figure 1), the first magnet possessing a north end and a south end (Figure 1), a second magnet (11) disposed at an opposite end of the main body portion (Figure 1), the second magnet possessing a north end and a south end (Figure 1), a second yoke (14) positioned so that a space

exists between the second yoke and the tip end of the projecting portion (Figure 1), a magnetic detecting element (15) disposed in the space between the second voke and the tip end of the projecting portion (Figure 1), first magnetic flux from the first magnet travels from the north end of the first magnet towards the second yoke ((Figure 1) and (Column 2, Lines 1-22)), then flows back to the south end of the first magnet via the magnetic detecting element and the projecting portion ((Figure 1) and (Column 2, Lines 1-22)), second magnetic flux from the second magnet travels from the north end of the second magnet towards the second voke via the projecting portion and the magnetic detecting element ((Figure 1) and (Column 2, Lines 1-22)), then flows back to the south end of the second magnet ((Figure 1) and (Column 2, Lines 1-22)), lines of magnetic flux from the first magnet flow in an opposite direction to lines of magnetic flux from the second magnet at the magnetic detecting element ((Figure 1) and (Column 2, Lines 1-22)), the magnetic fluxes from the first and second magnets passing through the magnetic detecting element are cancelled with each other when the magnetic body is positioned at a place near or adjacent to the position detecting sensor at which the first magnetic flux passes through the magnetic body ((Figure 1) and (Column 2, Lines 1-22) and (Column 2, Lines 60-72)), the magnetic flux passing through the magnetic detecting element becomes substantially greater than a predetermined threshold value when the magnetic body is positioned away from the position detecting sensor ((Figure 1) and (Column 2, Lines 1-22) and (Column 2, Lines 60-72) and (Column 3, Lines 1-2)).

Varterasian does not disclose the first and second magnets at both ends of the main body portion differ from each other in at least one dimension.

Birnbaum discloses the first (111) and second magnets (112) at both ends of the main body portion (114) differ from each other in at least one dimension (Figure 2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Varterasian to include the first and second magnets at both ends of the main body portion differ from each other in at least one dimension as taught by Birnbaum in order to control and adjust the direction and magnitude of the flux passing between the pole faces of the main body portion (114) and the second yoke (113) (Column 4, Lines 38-53).

Note that as armature (16) of Varterasian is moved away (displaced) the voltage will be non-zero.

As to Claim 21,

Varterasian does not disclose the at least one dimension is a thickness of the first and second magnets in an extending direction of the main body of the first yoke.

Birnbaum discloses the first and second magnets at both ends of the main body portion differ from each other in at least one dimension (Figure 2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify

Varterasian to include the at least one dimension is a thickness of the first and second magnets in an extending direction of the main body of the first yoke as taught by

Birnbaum in order to control and adjust the direction and magnitude of the flux passing between the pole faces of the main body portion (114) and the second yoke (113)

(Column 4, Lines 38-53).

As to Claim 22,

Application/Control Number: 10/722,405

Art Unit: 2862

Varterasian does not disclose the at least one dimension is a length perpendicular to an extending direction of the main body of the first yoke.

Birnbaum discloses the at least one dimension is a length perpendicular to an extending direction of the main body of the first yoke (Figure 2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Varterasian to include the at least one dimension is a length perpendicular to an extending direction of the main body of the first yoke as taught by Birnbaum in order to control and adjust the direction and magnitude of the flux passing between the pole faces of the main body portion (114) and the second yoke (113) (Column 4, Lines 38-53).

7. Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weh et al. (herein referred to as "Weh") (DE 3237843 A1) in view of Birnbaum (4,236,093).

As to Claim 17,

Weh discloses a first yoke (1) including a main body portion and a projecting portion (middle projecting portion of (1)) extending from the main body in a direction at right angles to the main body (Figure 1), the projecting portion possessing a tip end (Figure 1), a first magnet (5) disposed at one end of the main body (Figure 1 / bottom end), the first magnet possessing a north end and a south end (Figure 1), a second magnet (4) disposed at an opposite end of the main body (Figure 1 / top end), the second magnet possessing a north end and a south end (Figure 1), a second yoke (3)

positioned so that a space exists between the second yoke and the tip end of the projecting portion (Figure 1), a magnetic detecting element (13) disposed in the space between the second yoke and the tip end of the projecting portion (Figure 1), first magnetic flux from the first magnet travels from the north end of the first magnet towards the second yoke, then flows back to the south end of the first magnet via the magnetic detecting element and the projecting portion (Figure 1 / note flux lines), second magnetic flux from the second magnet travels from the north end of the second magnet towards the second voke via the projecting portion and the magnetic detecting element, then flows back to the south end of the second magnet (Figure 1 / note flux lines), lines of magnetic flux from the first magnet flow in an opposite direction to the lines of magnetic flux from the second magnet at the magnetic detecting element (Figure 1 / note flux line double arrows that are located to the left of (13)), the magnetic fluxes from the first and second magnets passing through the magnetic detecting element are cancelled with each other when the magnetic body is positioned at a place near or adjacent to the position detecting sensor at which the first magnetic flux passes through the magnetic body (Figure 1), the magnetic flux passing through the magnetic detecting element becomes substantially greater than a predetermined threshold value when the magnetic body is positioned away from the position detecting sensor ((Figure 1) and (see note 1 below)).

Weh does not disclose the first and second magnets at both ends of the main body portion differ from each other in at least one dimension.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,405

Art Unit: 2862

Birnbaum discloses the first (111) and second magnets (112) at both ends of the main body portion (114) differ from each other in at least one dimension (Figure 2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Weh to include the first and second magnets at both ends of the main body portion differ from each other in at least one dimension as taught by Birnbaum in order to control and adjust the direction and magnitude of the passing between the pole faces of the main body portion ((114) of Birnbaum) and the second yoke ((113) of Birnbaum) (Column 4, Lines 17-37).

Note 1 of Weh: Note that the Examiner is interpreting the predetermined threshold value to be zero detected flux.

As to Claim 19,

Weh discloses the third yoke (2) is separated from the second yoke by a predetermined distance (Figure 19.

8. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Birnbaum (4,236,093) in view of Weh et al. (herein referred to as "Weh") (DE 3237843 A1).

As to Claim 18,

Birnbaum does not disclose a third yoke positioned parallel with the projecting portion, wherein the third yoke is formed integrally with the second yoke to form a substantially L-shaped configuration.

Weh discloses a third yoke (top projecting part of (1)) positioned parallel with the

projecting portion (middle projecting part of (1)), wherein the third yoke is formed integrally with the second yoke to form a substantially L-shaped configuration (Figure 1).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Birnbaum to include a third yoke positioned parallel with the projecting portion, wherein the third yoke is formed integrally with the second yoke to form a substantially L-shaped configuration as taught by Weh in order to advantageously provide additional flux guidance.

As to Claim 19,

Birnbaum does not disclose a third yoke positioned parallel with the projecting portion, wherein the third yoke is separated from the second yoke by a predetermined distance.

Weh discloses a third yoke positioned parallel with the projecting portion, wherein the third yoke is separated from the second yoke by a predetermined distance (Figure 1).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify

Birnbaum to include a third yoke positioned parallel with the projecting portion, wherein
the third yoke is separated from the second yoke by a predetermined distance as taught
by Weh in order to advantageously provide additional flux guidance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Schindler whose telephone number is (571) 272-2112. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David Schindler Examiner

Art Unit 2862

DS

EDWARD LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800